

PROGRESS-BASED METRIC


Practical Issues of Implementation – Paratext & progress.Bible

November 20, 2019

AGENDA



BACKGROUND



SURVEY



DISCUSSION



BACKGROUND

WHAT

Progress.Bible was asked to compile a very high level progress metric. This was a count of the total chapters “ready” for publication from active Paratext projects.

WHY

ETEN requested this additional data to inform the distribution of funds in 2019.

WHEN

A trial period ran from July - September 2019.

HOW

4 metrics were tracked before/after the trial period and were reported back.

BACKGROUND

Alignment

Can Progress.Bible detect a match?

Plan


Does a plan exist in Paratext?

Interpretable


Is the plan interpretable?

Reporting

Is progress reported in Paratext?



BACKGROUND



SURVEY



QUESTIONS

1

Interpretable Paratext plans

2

Additional issues in preparing for progress-based metric

3

Issues in interactions with field partners

4

Steps for which guidelines for implementation would help

5

Ideas about additional technology solutions

9

What seems most helpful about a more refined progress-based metric

DISCUSSION

